

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,456	11/13/2003	Lawrence G. Hamann	1073.134A	9300	
23405 7590 01/24/2008 HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESTTI PC			EXAM	EXAMINER	
5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE			BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN		
ALBANY, NY 12203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2007.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/712,456	HAMANN ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
/Venkataraman Balasubramanian/	1624			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is

Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

ŀа	

2a) This action is FINAL.

close	ed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of	f Claims
4a) C 5)	n(s) <u>1.4-8 and 10</u> is/are pending in the application. If the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. In(s) is/are allowed. In(s) <u>1.4.5 and 10</u> is/are rejected. In(s) <u>6.9</u> is/are objected to. In(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application P	apers
10)☐ The o	specification is objected to by the Examiner. frawling(s) filed onis/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. cant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). acement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). bath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under	· 35 U.S.C. § 119
a)	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). te attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	sferences Cited (DTA-809) A Intensious Summary (DTA-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' response, which included amendment to claim 1, cancellation of claim 3 and addition of new claim 10, filed on 8/29/2007, is made of record. Claims 1, 4-8 and 10 are now pending.

In view of applicants' amendment, the prior art rejections and claim objections made in the previous office action have been obviated. However, the following new grounds of rejections are applied to pending claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sun et al., US 2004/0019063.

Sun et al., teaches several bicyclic compounds which include instant compounds as intermediates. See pages 2-3, formula Ih and the definition of various variable groups. Note the G choices are same as instant g choices. See pages 3-16 for various preferred embodiments and process of making. See pages 24-60 for examples 1-60. Especially see example 9, step 9D shown in page 31, paragraph 0396.

Art Unit: 1624

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another." or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 1624

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 4, 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Sun et al., US 2004/0019063.

Teaching of Sun et al., as discussed in the above 102 rejection is incorporated herein. As noted above, Sun et al., teaches several bicyclic compounds which include instant compounds as intermediates. See pages 2-3, formula Ih and the definition of various variable groups. Note the G choices are same as instant g choices. See pages 3-16 for various preferred embodiments and process of making. See pages 24-60 for examples 1-60. Especially see example 9, step 9D shown in page 31, paragraph 0396. See also examples 54-56.

Sun et al., did not exemplify all compounds with various G choices. However, Sun et al., teaches the equivalency of the exemplified compounds with those generically claimed for formula lh. Thus, it would be obvious to one trained in the art to make the compounds of formula lh by using example 9D as guidance in view of the equivalency teaching outlined above.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an

Art Unit: 1624

invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

Teaching of Sun et al., as discussed in the above 102 rejection is incorporated herein.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-8 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (571) 272-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is James O. Wilson, whose telephone number is 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (571) 273-8300. Any

Application/Control Number: 10/712,456 Page 6

Art Unit: 1624

inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAG. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-2 17-9197 (toll-free).

/Venkataraman Balasubramanian/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624